

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,057	12/20/2000	Grant A. Krafft	97-002-L	8012
75	90 06/03/2003			
Mark L. Chael, Ph.D. McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32 Floor			EXAMINER	
			AUDET, MAURY A	
300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
omenge, in		•	1654	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		licant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/745,057		AFFT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Maury Audet	165					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•						
Period fo	or Reply							
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory min will apply and will expire s, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be timely file imum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma b become ABANDONED (35 t	d e considered timely. iling date of this communication. J.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 i	December 2000 .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-f	nal.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.					
•	ion Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on			by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)		0-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and T	rademark Office							



Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.142, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a compound (peptide), classified in class 530, subclass324.
- II. Claim(s) 4, drawn to a method for measuring the vivo effects of the protein assembly, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claim(s) 5-6, drawn to a method of protecting, and/or treating/preventing brain diseases, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- IV. Claim(s) 7, drawn to a method of detecting a protein using 6E10 antibody, classified in class 435, subclass 331.
- V. Claim(s) 8 and 10, drawn to a method of detecting a protein using B103 neuroblastoma cells, classified in 435, subclass 344.
- VI. Claim(s) 9, drawn to a method of detecting a protein using brain slice cultures, classified in class 424, subclass 347.
- VII. Claim(s) 23-34, 36, and 38, drawn to a method of identifying compounds that block receptor binding of protein assembly, classified in class 435, subclass 334.



Art Unit: 1654

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, as evidenced the different methods of use all using the protein assembly of Group I. Therefore, the inventions are patentably distinct.

The methods of Groups II-VII are directed to different inventions, which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The several inventions (Groups I-VI) above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference, which would anticipate the invention of one group, would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application. Restriction for examination purposes is therefore proper.



Art Unit: 1654

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not necessarily required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 703-305-5039. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM – 5:30 PM, off Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-1234 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

MA June 1, 2003

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER